



A three-dimensional method for calculating the hydraulic gradient in porous and cracked media

Hans Häggblom

AB Atomenergi 1978-01-26

KÄBN – ^{POS} BRÄNSLE – SÄKERNET

POSTADRESS: Kärnbränslesäkerhet, Fack. 102 40 Stockholm. Telefon 08-67 95 40

A THREE-DIMENSIONAL METHOD FOR CALCULATING THE HYDRAULIC GRADIENT IN POROUS AND CRACKED MEDIA

Hans Häggblom AB Atomenergi 1978-01-26

Denna rapport utgör redovisning av ett arbete som utförts på uppdrag av KBS. Slutsatser och värderingar i rapporten är författarens och behöver inte nödvändigtvis sammanfalla med uppdragsgivarens.

I slutet av rapporten har bifogats en förteckning över av KBS hittills publicerade tekniska rapporter i denna serie.

30035

the oon turbitara		 A start generation of the start start 		
A three-dimension hydraulic gradien	nal method for nt in porous an	TPM-RF-78-2286		
	1	I refer to a charter of t		
H Haggbiom			15/12+2	1978-01-26
Eroàtiar	12 optodanar	i Berner en	Dother as	
		D9115	Sinc U	ellstrand
Distribueras Un		··· ··· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	n in an ann an a	
CRF E Hellstrand	d CRD	S Linde		
CSL L Devell, 5	ex RD	C Grägg		
Prav N Rydell			×	
SKBF L B Nilsson				
KTH Y Gustavssor	1			
Test				the second se

1. Introduction

When the water flow due to hydraulic gradients is calculated in two dimensions it is found that outlets into the ground surface are always obtained close to the bottom of a valley [1, 2]. This is not a real physical situation, because the valley would then be replaced by a lake. The drainage of the valley is of course due to a gradient in the perpendicular direction. This is an important reason for making threedimensional calculations. Another reason is the ability to make realistic calculations of the dilution of migrating nuclides in a three-dimensional model. The obstacle for such a model is its complexity which may lead to long running times for computer programs with purely numerical solution methods. Much benifit can, however, be obtained from existing analytical two-dimensional solutions [2]. In this work it will be shown how such solutions can be used for synthezising three-dimensional solutions. It is expected that the method can be a base for a computer program with relatively short running times. The programming technique is also outlined.

2. The synthesis method

The differential equation for the piezometric head ψ in a porous medium with variable permeability K is [1, 2, 3]

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{x}} \left(\mathbf{K}_{\mathbf{x}} \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial \mathbf{x}} \right) + \frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{y}} \left(\mathbf{K}_{\mathbf{y}} \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial \mathbf{y}} \right) + \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \left(\mathbf{K}_{z} \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial z} \right) = 0$$
(1)

By this formulation K is a tensor. Stokes [1] has shown that if K and K are constant, an equivalent isotropic formulation can be obtained by the transform

$$\xi = x \sqrt{\frac{K_z}{K_x}}$$
(2)
$$m = y \sqrt{\frac{K_z}{K_y}}$$

If the permeability is isotropic, constant in the x-direction and varying exponentially in the z-direction, and if furthermore ψ is independent of y, the transport equation can be written

$$\frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial \mathbf{x}^2} + \frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial z^2} + \mathbf{a} \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial z} = 0$$
(3)

where a is the exponential decay constant of K in the negative z-direction. This equation can be solved analytically [2]. It will now be shown how such solutions may be utilized for solving the corresponding 3-dimensional equation.

$$\nabla [K(z)\nabla \psi(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y},z)] = 0 \tag{4}$$

For convenience, x, y and z will be replaced by x_i , i = 1, 2, 3 .Consider a volume V within which a Lagrangian is defined by

$$L = \iiint_{V} \left[\sum_{i=1}^{3} \left(K \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x_{i}} \right)^{2} - \frac{\partial K}{\partial x_{i}} \psi \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x_{i}} - \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^{2} K}{\partial x_{i}^{2}} \psi^{2} \right] dV$$
(5)

An extremum value for L is obtained by the Euler equations:

$$\sum_{i=1}^{3} \frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{x}_{i}} \left(\frac{\partial \mathbf{L}}{\partial \psi_{i}} \right) - \frac{\partial \mathbf{L}}{\partial \psi_{i}} = 0$$
(6)

where

$$\psi_{i} = \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x_{i}}$$
(7)

It is easily seen that application of Eq (6) upon the given Lagrangian leads to Eq (4). Thus, if an approximate solution ψ_p contains some parameters p_k and an extremum of the Lagrangian L is obtained by varying these parameters, this set of parameters gives the best approximation to the solution of Eq (4) that can be obtained from the set of functions ψ_p .

By partial integration of Eq (5) the Lagrangian can also be written in the following form:

$$L = \iint_{S} \left[K\psi grad\psi - \frac{1}{2} \psi^{2} gradK \right] \overline{n} dS - \iiint_{V} \psi \nabla (K\nabla \psi) dV$$
(8)

where S is the outer boundary of V and \overline{n} is the outward-directed normal to this boundary. Then, if the ψ -function is replaced by an approximation which satisfies Eq (4)

the volume integral in Eq (8) will vanish. It should be observed that any solution of Eq (4) is convenient, independently of the boundary conditions. The utilization of this fact is the main difference between the synthesis method and the finite element method. In the latter one, arbitrary functions are chosen within a volume ΔV and the second integral in Eq (8) has to be calculated within this volume. The volume is limited by the limited ability of the chosen functions to fit the exact solution. In the synthesis method, the trial functions are solutions of the differential equation and can therefore be expected to fit the exact solution within a larger volume. The limiting factor is the ability to fit the boundary conditions.Because the volume integral in Eq (8) vanishes, the numerical work will be smaller in this method even with the same sizes of the partial volumes.

The trial functions may be chosen either as one set over the total volume (single-channel synthesis) or the volume can be divided into subvolumes with different function sets (multi-channel synthesis). For a three-dimensional problem it is customary to write the trial function as an expansion

$$\psi_{p}(\mathbf{x}_{1},\mathbf{x}_{2},\mathbf{x}_{3}) = \sum_{n=1}^{N} \rho_{n}(\mathbf{x}_{1})\phi_{n}(\mathbf{x}_{2},\mathbf{x}_{3})$$
(9)

where the functions ϕ_n are solutions of the corresponding two-dimensional differential equation and the coefficients ρ_n are solved so that ψ_p is the best possible approximation to the problem considered. For example, we can use solutions to Eq (3) for ρ_n and the expansion coefficients will then give the solution in the y-direction. It will be described how this method can be used for single-channel synthesis.

Another approach is to write the trial function as a combination of solutions in different directions

$$\psi_{\mathbf{p}}(\mathbf{x}_{1},\mathbf{x}_{2},\mathbf{x}_{3}) = \sum_{n=1}^{N} \left[a_{n}\phi_{1,n}(\mathbf{x}_{1},\mathbf{x}_{3}) + b_{n}\phi_{2,n}(\mathbf{x}_{2},\mathbf{x}_{3}) \right]$$
(10)

For the problem considered, this approach is more satisfactory in the sense that the coordinates x_1 and x_2 are handled on an equal basis. The functions $\phi_{1,n}$ and $\phi_{2,n}$ can then be solutions in the (x,z) and the (y,z) planes, respectively. It will be shown how this method can be used for multi-channel synthesis.

The two-dimensional functions considered will always be solutions of Eq (3). It is then convenient to return to the (x,y,z) notation for the coordinates. For the half-space with negative z-values, it is then assumed that the permeability is given by

$$K = K_0 e^{az}$$
(11)

and that the maximum penetration depth is $\neg_z \\max$. It is then shown in ref 2 that a solution can be written in the form

$$\phi(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{z}) = \frac{e}{\sum_{\max}^{z}} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f_n(\mathbf{x}) \sin\left[\frac{\pi (n-\frac{1}{2})z}{\sum_{\max}}\right]$$
(12)

where

$$f_{n}(x) = g \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{\left[\frac{\pi (n-\frac{1}{2})\mu_{n} |\xi-x|}{|^{z} max|}\right]} h_{1}(\xi) d\xi$$
(13)

g is the acceleration of gravity. $h_1(x)$ is the height of the groundwater surface along the x-axis. The constant μ_n is given by

$$\mu_{n} = \left\{ 1 + \left[\frac{az_{max}}{\pi (2n-1)} \right]^{2} \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}}$$
(14)

If N solutions of Eq (12) are obtained for different (x,y) planes, a three-dimensional solution can be obtained according to Eq (9). The coefficients $\rho_n(y)$ are obtained by replacing ψ in Eq (8) by ψ_p and seeking an extremum value of L with respect to ρ_n . In single-channel synthesis, the outer boundary is given by the total volume for the solution considered.

In Eq (12) the x-coordinate can of course be replaced by the y-coordinate. Then, consider a mesh structure in the (x,y) plane according to Fig 1. The lines y_m and x_m , where m and n are integers, define planes in the (x,z) and the (y,z) planes, respectively, for which the solutions $X_m(x,z)$ and $Y_n(y,z)$ are obtained. Further, consider a specific mesh defined by the lines $x_{n-1/2}$, $x_{n+1/2}$, $y_{m-1/2}$ and $y_{m+1/2}$. In a volume V_{mn} defined by this mesh and the z-planes z=0 and z=-z_{max}, an approximate solution to the problem considered is written as

$$\psi_{p}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}, z) = a_{m, n} X_{m}(\mathbf{x}, z) + b_{m, n} Y_{n}(\mathbf{y}, z)$$
(15)

The flow component in the x-direction is

$$J_{x} = K \frac{\partial \psi_{p}}{\partial x} = K a_{m,n} \frac{\partial X}{\partial x}$$
(16)

It is now required that this component is continuous over a vertical mesh boundary. The function X_m is a continuous function over any (y,z) plane. The permeability K is assumed to be discontinuous, but independent of x and y within the volume V_{mn} . Therefore, for preserving flow continuity,

$$K_{m,n}a_{m,n} = K_{m,n-1}a_{m,n-1}$$
(17)

Similarly, for continuity in the y-direction,

$$K_{m,n}b_{m,n} = K_{m-1,n}b_{m-1,n}$$
 (18)

Because each partial volume has different expansion functions given by Eq (15), the approach is a form of multi-channel

synthesis. The coefficients a and b are dism,n m,n m,n m,n continuous functions of the x- and y-coordinates. It should, however, be observed that

 $a_{m,n} = a_{m,1}$ if K is independent of x

and

 $b_{m,n} = b_{1,n}$ if K is independent of y.

3. The optimization procedure

Consider firstly the expansion according to Eq (9) with ϕ_n of the form given by Eq (12). The geometrical space will. then be divided into subvolumes characterized by constant permeability. The Lagrangian will then equal the sum over the subvolumes of the surface integrals given by Eq (8). The continuity of flow is not preserved in this formulation and the surface integrals are then obtained as the arithmetic mean of the values on each side of the surface. In order to obtain the y-dependence of the coefficients ρ , they are assumed to be stepfunctions. Thus, the y-coordinate can be replaced by an index, k, which defines a micromesh within each subvolume. If the Lagrangian is minimized independently over each micromesh, a set of coupled equations is obtained. The number of equations equals the number of divisions in y-direction. The p-values can then be arbitrarily normalized if no additional constraint is put upon them. A suitable constraint is given by

$$\frac{1}{g} \iint_{xy} \psi(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{0}) \, d\mathbf{x} d\mathbf{y} = \iint_{xy} h(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) \, d\mathbf{x} d\mathbf{y}$$
(19)

where S_{xy} is the upper groundwater surface in the volume considered and h(x,y) is the corresponding height. The l.h.s. of Eq (19) is then multiplied by a Lagrange multiplier, λ , and added to Eq (8). After optimization $\psi(x,y,z)$ is obtained as a function of λ and substituted into Eq (19). This gives the final solution.

Secondly, consider the expansion according to Eq (15). As an example, we assume that the map is divided into 3 meshes in the x-direction and 3 meshes in the y-direction (Fig 1). The two-dimensional calculations are performed along the lines x_1 , x_2 , x_3 and y_1 to y_3 . Assume further that the permeability is equal to $K_{1,1}$ to the left of the line $x=x_2$ and equal to $K_{1,3}$ to the right of this line. Then

$$a_{m,2} = a_{m,1}$$

 $a_{m,3} = \frac{K_{m,1}}{K_{m,3}} a_{m,1}$

$$b_{m,n} = b_{1,n}$$

It is sufficient to consider the first part of the Lagrangian given as

$$L_{1} = \iint_{S} K\psi(grad\psi) \, \bar{n}ds$$
(20)

This part is obtained as

$$L_{1} = \int_{0}^{z_{\text{max}}} dz \left\{ \sum_{k=1}^{3} \int_{y_{\ell-1/2}}^{y_{\ell+1/2}} dy \sum_{\ell=1}^{3} \left[F_{k,\ell}(x_{\ell+1/2}, y, z) - F_{k,\ell}(x_{\ell-1/2}, y, z) \right] + \right.$$

$$+ \sum_{k=1}^{3} \int_{x_{k-1/2}}^{x_{k+1/2}} dx \sum_{\ell=1}^{3} \left[G_{k,\ell}(x,y_{k+1/2},z) - G_{k,\ell}(x,y_{k-1/2},z) \right] + \frac{1}{x_{k-1/2}} + \frac{1}{x_{k-1/2}} dx \left[G_{k,\ell}(x,y_{k+1/2},z) - G_{k,\ell}(x,y_{k-1/2},z) \right] + \frac{1}{x_{k-1/2}} + \frac{1}{x_{k-1/2}} dx \left[G_{k,\ell}(x,y_{k+1/2},z) - G_{k,\ell}(x,y_{k-1/2},z) \right] + \frac{1}{x_{k-1/2}} + \frac{1}{x_{k-1/2}} dx \left[G_{k,\ell}(x,y_{k+1/2},z) - G_{k,\ell}(x,y_{k-1/2},z) \right] + \frac{1}{x_{k-1/2}} + \frac{1}{x_{k-1/2}} dx \left[G_{k,\ell}(x,y_{k+1/2},z) - G_{k,\ell}(x,y_{k-1/2},z) \right] + \frac{1}{x_{k-1/2}} + \frac{1}{x_{k-1/2}} dx \left[G_{k,\ell}(x,y_{k+1/2},z) - G_{k,\ell}(x,y_{k-1/2},z) \right] + \frac{1}{x_{k-1/2}} + \frac{1}{x_{k-1/2}} dx \left[G_{k,\ell}(x,y_{k+1/2},z) - G_{k,\ell}(x,y_{k-1/2},z) \right] + \frac{1}{x_{k-1/2}} + \frac{1}{x_{k-1/2}} dx \left[G_{k,\ell}(x,y_{k-1/2},z) - G_{k,\ell}(x,y_{k-1/2},z) \right] + \frac{1}{x_{k-1/2}} + \frac{1}{x_{k-1/2}} dx \left[G_{k,\ell}(x,y_{k-1/2},z) - G_{k,\ell}(x,y_{k-1/2},z) \right] + \frac{1}{x_{k-1/2}} + \frac{1}{x_{k-1/2}} dx \left[G_{k,\ell}(x,y_{k-1/2},z) - G_{k,\ell}(x,y_{k-1/2},z) \right] + \frac{1}{x_{k-1/2}} + \frac{1}{x_{k-1/2}} dx \left[G_{k,\ell}(x,y_{k-1/2},z) - G_{k,\ell}(x,y_{k-1/2},z) \right] + \frac{1}{x_{k-1/2}} dx \left[G_{k,\ell$$

$$+ \sum_{k=1}^{3} \int_{x_{k-1/2}}^{x_{j+1/2}} \int_{x_{k-1/2}}^{y_{\ell+1/2}} H_{k,\ell}(x,y,o) \, dy$$
(21)

where

$$\mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{k},\ell} = \left[\mathbf{a}_{\mathbf{k},\ell} \mathbf{X}_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{x},z) + \mathbf{b}_{\mathbf{k},\ell} \mathbf{Y}_{\ell}(\mathbf{y},z) \right] \mathbf{K}_{\ell} \mathbf{a}_{\mathbf{k},\ell} \frac{\partial \mathbf{X}_{\mathbf{k}}}{\partial \mathbf{x}}$$
(22)

$$G_{k,\ell} = \left[a_{k,\ell} X_{k}(x,z) + b_{k,\ell} Y_{\ell}(y,z)\right] K_{\ell} b_{k,\ell} \frac{\partial Y_{\ell}}{\partial y}$$
(23)

$$H_{k,\ell} = \left[a_{k,\ell}X_{k}(x,z) + b_{k,\ell}Y_{\ell}(y,z)\right]K_{\ell}\left(a_{k,\ell}\frac{\partial X_{k}}{\partial z} + b_{k,\ell}\frac{\partial Y_{\ell}}{\partial z}\right)$$
(24)

Because of the relationships between the coefficients with different k- and ℓ -values, the Lagrangian contains only 6 unknowns, namely, $a_{m,1}$,m=1 to 3 and $b_{1,n}$,n=1 to 3. Redundancy in the number of equations is avoided by summing up the integrals over partial volumes.

The function L_1 given by Eq (21) can then be written as a quadratic form in $a_{k,1}$ and $b_{1,\ell}$ given by

$$L_{1} = \sum_{k=1}^{3} \sum_{\ell=1}^{3} \left(R_{k,\ell} a_{k,1}^{2} + S_{k,\ell} a_{k,1} b_{1,\ell} + T_{k,\ell} b_{1,\ell}^{2} \right)$$
(25)

where

$$R_{k,\ell} = \frac{K_{k,1}^{2}}{K_{k,\ell}} \left\{ \int_{0}^{z_{max}} \left[\left(X_{k} \frac{\partial X_{k}}{\partial x} \right)_{X_{\ell+1/2}} - \left(X_{k} \frac{\partial X_{k}}{\partial x} \right)_{X_{\ell-1/2}} \right] dz + \frac{X_{k+1/2}}{\left[\left(x_{k} - \frac{\partial X_{k}}{\partial x} \right)_{X_{\ell+1/2}} - \left(x_{k} - \frac{\partial X_{k}}{\partial x} \right)_{X_{\ell-1/2}} \right] dz + \frac{X_{k+1/2}}{\left[\left(x_{k} - \frac{\partial X_{k}}{\partial x} \right)_{X_{\ell}} - \left(x_{k} - \frac{\partial X_{k}}{\partial x} \right)_{X_{\ell}} \right] dz}{\left[\left(x_{k} - \frac{\partial X_{k}}{\partial x} \right)_{X_{\ell}} - \left(x_{k} - \frac{\partial X_{k}}{\partial x} \right)_{X_{\ell}} \right] dz}$$

+
$$\int \left(X_{k} - \frac{\partial X_{k}}{\partial z} \right)_{z=0} dx \left\{ \left(y_{\ell+1/2} - y_{\ell-1/2} \right) \right\}$$
(26)

$$S_{k,\ell} = \frac{K_{k,1}K_{1,\ell}}{K_{k,\ell}} \left\{ \int_{0}^{z_{max}} dz \int_{y_{\ell-1/2}}^{y_{\ell+1/2}} \left[\left(\frac{\partial X_{k}}{\partial x} Y_{\ell} \right)_{x_{\ell+1/2}} - \left(\frac{\partial X_{k}}{\partial x} Y_{\ell} \right)_{x_{\ell-1/2}} \right] dy + \frac{z_{max}}{\int_{0}^{z_{k+1/2}} \int_{x_{k-1/2}}^{x_{k+1/2}} \left[\left(X_{k} \frac{\partial Y_{\ell}}{\partial y} \right)_{y_{k+1/2}} - \left(X_{k} \frac{\partial Y_{\ell}}{\partial y} \right)_{y_{k-1/2}} \right] dx + \frac{x_{k+1/2}}{\int_{x_{k-1/2}}^{y_{\ell+1/2}} \left[\left(X_{k} \frac{\partial Y_{\ell}}{\partial z} \right)_{z=0} + \left(\frac{\partial X_{k}}{\partial z} Y_{\ell} \right)_{z=0} \right] dy \right\}$$
(27)
$$T_{k,\ell} = \frac{K_{1,\ell}^{2}}{K_{k,\ell}} \left\{ \int_{0}^{z_{max}} \left[\left(Y_{\ell} \frac{\partial Y_{\ell}}{\partial y} \right)_{y_{k+1/2}} - \left(Y_{\ell} \frac{\partial Y_{\ell}}{\partial y} \right)_{y_{k-1/2}} \right] dz + \frac{y_{\ell+1/2}}{\int_{y_{\ell-1/2}}^{z_{max}} \left[\left(Y_{\ell} \frac{\partial Y_{\ell}}{\partial y} \right)_{z=0} dy \right] \left(x_{k+1/2} - x_{k-1/2} \right) \right] dz + \frac{y_{\ell+1/2}}{\int_{y_{\ell-1/2}}^{z_{max}} \left[\left(Y_{\ell} \frac{\partial Y_{\ell}}{\partial y} \right)_{z=0} dy \right] \left(x_{k+1/2} - x_{k-1/2} \right)$$
(28)

Eqs (25) to (28) are quite general, except for the number of meshes. A similar form can also be written for the rest of the Lagrangian. Finally, a Lagrange multiplier, λ , times the l.h.s. of Eq (19) can again be added to the Lagrangian and the coefficients are obtained by partial derivations, setting the results to zero, and again using Eq (19). This method seems simpler and more self-consistent than using trial functions depending only on x and z.

It should be noted that although the two-dimensional solutions are independent of the variation of the permeability in the x- and y-directions, the final solutions are not. Thus, the method is applicable for homogeneous media, for cracks and for large fissure zones imbedded in denser media.

4. Outlining a computer program

The proposed program HYGRA has a structure given in Fig 2. The main routine HYGRA reads a number of block names, except for the title and corresponding to different subroutines. The subroutine TOPOGRA is called for reading the topographic points defined by the height of the groundwater level in an arbitrary (x,y) mesh structure. MATRIAL reads material data in another arbitrary (x,y) mesh structure. PATHZON reads the starting points for different leakage paths. ADDIT reads some additional data, for example the number of points used for numerical integrations. EXECUTE is a control routine for the computations. MERGE combines the topographical and material meshes to a final mesh structure. MESH follows the flow from the start point to the outlet and determines the mesh at each time interval. MESHEAD calculates the piezometric heads and gradients in two-dimensional geometry within each mesh. MATRIX calculates the matrix elements given by Eqs (26) to (28), alternatively the matrix elements corresponding to expansion functions in (x,z) geometry only.

SYNCOFF calculates the synthesis coefficients, POINTFI calculates the final piezometric head in 4 points and corresponding gradient components. TRACE calculates the flow path for each time step. The results are listed in the subroutine LISTEDT.

The memory requirement is of course dependent upon the number of meshes. This, in turn, is dependent upon the topography and the ground heterogeneity. Thus, no conclusions can be drawn concerning this problem without extensive numerical experiments. Such tests must also be made for obtaining the necessary number of points in the numerical integrations. For keeping this number low, the integrations will be made by a Gaussian quadrature.

5.	References
1.	J Stokes Undersökningar rörande grundvattenströmning i berg kring lagrinsutrymme för kärnbränsle Part I The Royal Technical Institute, Stockholm (1977)
2.	H Häggblom Calculations of nuclide migration in rock and porous media, penetrated by water AE internal report RF-77-3264 (1977)
3.	A Burges Groundwater movements around a reporitory. Regional groundwater flow analyses Hagconsult AB, Stockholm (1977)

Mesh structure for multichannel-synthesis



Fig. 2 Proposed structure of a computer program HYGRA TOPOGRA RMESH MATRIAL PATHZON ADDIT EXECUTE MERGE MESH NEW YES MESHEAD MESH2 MATRIX SYNCOFF NO POINTFI TRACE YES NO OUTLET? LISTEDT

FÖRTECKNING ÖVER KBS TEKNISKA RAPPORTER

01	Källstyrkor i utbränt bränsle och högaktivt avfall från en PWR beräknade med ORIGEN Nils Kjellbert AB Atomenergi 77-04-05
02	PM angående värmeledningstal hos jordmaterial Sven Knutsson Roland Pusch Högskolan i Luleå 77-04-15
03	Deponering av högaktivt avfall i borrhål med buffertsubstans Arvid Jacobsson Roland Pusch Högskolan i Luleå 77-05-27
04	Deponering av högaktivt avfall i tunnlar med buffertsubstans Arvid Jacobsson Roland Pusch Högskolan i Luleå 77-06-01
05	Orienterande temperaturberäkningar för slutförvaring i berg av radioaktivt avfall, Rapport 1 Roland Blomqvist AB Atomenergi 77-03-17
06	Groundwater movements around a repository, Phase 1, State of the art and detailed study plan Ulf Lindblom Hagconsult AB 77-02-28
07	Resteffekt studier för KBS Del 1 Litteraturgenomgång Del 2 Beräkningar Kim Ekberg Nils Kjellbert Göran Olsson AB Atomenergi 77-04-19
08	Utlakning av franskt, engelskt och kanadensiskt glas med högaktivt avfall Göran Blomqvist AB Atomenergi 77-05-20

09 Diffusion of soluble materials in a fluid filling a porous medium Hans Häggblom

AB Atomenergi 77-03-24

- 10 Translation and development of the BNWL-Geosphere Model Bertil Grundfelt Kemakta Konsult AB 77-02-05
- 11 Utredning rörande titans lämplighet som korrosionshärdig kapsling för kärnbränsleavfall Sture Henriksson AB Atomenergi 77-04-18
- 12 Bedömning av egenskaper och funktion hos betong i samband med slutlig förvaring av kärnbränsleavfall i berg Sven G Bergström Göran Fagerlund Lars Rombén Cement- och Betonginstitutet 77-06-22
- 13 Urlakning av använt kärnbränsle (bestrålad uranoxid) vid direktdeponering Ragnar Gelin AB Atomenergi 77-06-08
- 14 Influence of cementation on the deformation properties of bentonite/quartz buffer substance Roland Pusch Högskolan i Luleå 77-06-20
- 15 Orienterande temperaturberäkningar för slutförvaring i berg av radioaktivt avfall Rapport 2 Roland Blomquist AB Atomenergi 77-05-17
- 16 Översikt av utländska riskanalyser samt planer och projekt rörande slutförvaring Åke Hultgren AB Atomenergi augusti 1977
- 17 The gravity field in Fennoscandia and postglacial crustal movements Arne Bjerhammar Stockholm augusti 1977
- 18 Rörelser och instabilitet i den svenska berggrunden Nils-Axel Mörner Stockholms Universitet augusti 1977
- 19 Studier av neotektonisk aktivitet i mellersta och norra Sverige, flygbildsgenomgång och geofysisk tolkning av recenta förkastningar Robert Lagerbäck Herbert Henkel Sveriges Geologiska Undersökning september 1977

20 Tektonisk analys av södra Sverige, Vättern - Norra Skåne Kennert Röshoff Erik Lagerlund Lunds Universitet och Högskolan Luleå september 1977 21 Earthquakes of Sweden 1891 - 1957, 1963 - 1972 Ota Kulhánek Rutger Wahlström Uppsala Universitet september 1977 22 The influence of rock movement on the stress/strain situation in tunnels or bore holes with radioactive consisters embedded in a bentonite/quartz buffer mass Roland Pusch Högskolan i Luleå 1977-08-22 23 Water uptake in a bentonite buffer mass A model study Roland Pusch Högskolan i Luleå 1977-08-22 24 Beräkning av utlakning av vissa fissionsprodukter och aktinider från en cylinder av franskt glas Göran Blomqvist AB Atomenergi 1977-07-27 Blekinge kustgnejs, Geologi och hydrogeologi 25 Ingemar Larsson KTH Tom Lundgren SGI Ulf Wiklander SGU Stockholm, augusti 1977 26 Bedömning av risken för fördröjt brott i titan Kjell Pettersson AB Atomenergi 1977-08-25 27 A short review of the formation, stability and cementing properties of natural zeolites Arvid Jacobsson Högskolan i Luleå 1977-10-03 28 Värmeledningsförsök på buffertsubstans av bentonit/pitesilt Sven Knutsson Högskolan i Luleå 1977-09-20 29 Deformationer i sprickigt berg Ove Stephansson Högskolan i Luleå 1977-09-28 30 Retardation of escaping nuclides from a final depository Ivars Neretnieks Kungliga Tekniska Högskolan Stockholm 1977-09-14 31 Bedömning av korrosionsbeständigheten hos material avsedda för kapsling av kärnbränsleavfall. Lägesrapport 1977-09-27 samt kompletterande yttranden. Korrosionsinstitutet och dess referensgrupp

32	Long term mineralogical properties of bentonite/quartz buffer substance Preliminär rapport november 1977
	Slutrapport februari 1978 Roland Pusch Arvid Jacobsson Högskolan i Luleå
33	Required physical and mechanical properties of buffer masses Roland Pusch Högskolan Luleå 1977-10-19
34	Tillverkning av bly-titan kapsel Folke Sandelin AB VBB ASEA-Kabel Institutet för metallforskning Stockholm november 1977
35	Project for the handling and storage of vitrified high-level waste Saint Gobain Techniques Nouvelles October, 1977
36	Sammansättning av grundvatten på större djup i granitisk berggrund Jan Rennerfelt Orrje & Co, Stockholm 1977-11-07
37	Hantering av buffertmaterial av bentonit och kvarts Hans Fagerström, VBB Björn Lundahl, Stabilator Stockholm oktober 1977
38	Utformning av bergrumsanläggningar Arne Finné, KBS Alf Engelbrektson, VBB Stockholm december 1977
39	Konstruktionsstudier, direktdeponering ASEA-ATOM VBB Västerås
40	Ekologisk transport och <mark>stråldoser från grundvattenburna</mark> radioaktiva ämnen Ronny Bergman Ulla Bergström Sverker Evans AB Atomenergi
41	Säkerhet och strålskydd inom kärnkraftområdet. Lagar, normer och bedömningsgrunder Christina Gyllander Siegfried F Johnson Stig Rolandson AB Atomenergi och ASEA-ATOM

- 42 Säkerhet vid hantering, lagring och transport av använt kärnbränsle och förglasat högaktivt avfall Ann-Margret Ericsson Kemakta november 1977
- 43 Transport av radioaktiva ämnen med grundvatten från ett bergförvar Bertil Grundfelt Kemakta november 1977
- 44 Beständighet hos borsilikatglas Tibor Lakatos Glasteknisk Utveckling AB
- 45 Beräkning av temperaturer i ett envånings slutförvar i berg för förglasat radioaktivt avfall Rapport 3 Roland Blomquist AB Atomenergi 1977-10-19
- 46 Temperaturberäkningar för använt bränsle Taivo Tarandi VBB
- 47 Teoretiska studier av grundvattenrörelser John Stokes Roger Thunvik Inst för kulturteknik KTH maj 1978
- 48 The mechanical properties of Stripa granite Graham Swan Högskolan i Luleå 1977-09-14
- 49 Bergspänningsmätningar i Stripa gruva Hans Carlsson Högskolan i Luleå 1977-08-29
- 50 Lakningsförsök med högaktivt franskt glas i Studsvik Göran Blomqvist AB Atomenergi november 1977
- 51 Seismotechtonic risk modelling for nuclear waste disposal in the Swedish bedrock F Ringdal H Gjöystdal E S Husebye Royal Norwegian Council for scientific and industrial research
- 52 Calculations of nuclide migration in rock and porous media, penetrated by water H Häggblom AB Atomenergi 1977-09-14

- 53 Mätning av dissusionshastighet för silver i lera-sand-blandning Bert Allard Heino Kipatsi Chalmers tekniska högskola 1977-10-15
- 54 Groundwater movements around a repository
 - 54:01 Geological and geotechnical conditions Håkan Stille Anthony Burgess Ulf E Lindblom Hagconsult AB september 1977
 - 54:02 Thermal analyses Part 1 Conduction heat transfer Part 2 Advective heat transfer Joe L Ratigan Hagconsult AB september 1977
 - 54:03 Regional groundwater flow analyses Part I Initial conditions Part 2 Long term residual conditions Anthony Burgess Hagconsult AB oktober 1977
 - 54:04 Rock mechanics analyses Joe L Ratigan Hagconsult AB september 1977
 - 54:05 Repository domain groundwater flow analyses Part 1 Permeability perturbations Part 2 Inflow to repository Part 3 Thermally induced flow Joe L Ratigan Anthony S Burgess Edward L Skiba Robin Charlwood
 - 54:06 Final report Ulf Lindblom et al Hagconsult AB oktober 1977
- 55 Sorption av långlivade radionuklider i lera och berg,Del 1 Bert Allard Heino Kipatsi Jan Rydberg Chalmers tekniska högskola 1977-10-10
- 56 Radiolys av utfyllnadsmaterial Bert Allard Heino Kipatsi Jan Rydberg Chalmers tekniska högskola 1977-10-15

- 57 Stråldoser vid haveri under sjötransport av kärnbränsle Anders Appelgren Ulla Bergström Lennart Devell AB Atomenergi 1978-01-09
- 58 Strålrisker och högsta tillåtliga stråldoser för människan Gunnar Walinder FOA 4 november 1977
- 59 Tectonic lineaments in the Baltic from Gävle to Simrishamn Tom Flodén Stockholms Universitet 1977-12-15
- 60 Förarbeten för platsval, berggrundsundersökningar Sören Scherman

Berggrundvattenförhållande i Finnsjöområdets nordöstra del Carl-Erik Klockars Ove Persson Sveriges Geologiska Undersökning januari 1978

61 Permeabilitetsbestämningar Anders Hult Gunnar Gidlund Ulf Thoregren

> Geofysisk borrhålsmätning Kurt-Åke Magnusson Oscar Duran Sveriges Geologiska Undersökning januari 1978

- 62 Analyser och åldersbestämningar av grundvatten på stora djup Gunnar Gidlund Sveriges Geologiska Undersökning 1978-02-14
- 63 Geologisk och hydrogeologisk grunddokumentation av Stripa försöksstation Andrei Olkiewicz Kenth Hansson Karl-Erik Almén Gunnar Gidlund Sveriges Geologiska Undersökning februari 1978
- 64 Spänningsmätningar i Skandinavisk berggrund förutsättningar, resultat och tolkning Sten G A Bergman Stockholm november 1977
- 65 Säkerhetsanalys av inkapslingsprocesser Göran Carleson AB Atomenergi 1978-01-27
- 66 Några synpunkter på mekanisk säkerhet hos kapsel för kärnbränsleavfall Fred Nilsson Kungl Tekniska Högskolan Stockholm februari 1978

67	Mätning av galvanisk korrosion mellan titan och bly samt mätning av titans korrosionspotential under 🌫 bestrålning. 3 st tekniska PM. Sture Henrikson Stefan Poturaj Maths Åsberg Derek Lewis AB Atomenergi januari-februari 1978
68	Degraderingsmekanismer vid bassänglagring och hantering av utbränt kraftreaktorbränsle Gunnar Vesterlund Torsten Olsson ASEA-ATOM 1978-01-18
69	A three-dimensional method for calculating the hydraulic gradient in porous and cracked media Hans Häggblom AB Atomenergi 1978-01-26
70	Lakning av bestrålat UO ₂ -bränsle Ulla-Britt Eklund Ronald Forsyth AB Atomenergi 1978-02-24
71	Bergspricktätning med bentonit Roland Pusch Högskolan i Luleå 1977–11–16
72	Värmeledningsförsök på buffertsubstans av kompakterad bentonit Sven Knutsson Högskolan i Luleå 1977-11-18
73	Self-injection of highly compacted bentonite into rock joints Roland Pusch Högskolan i Luleå 1978-02-25
74	Highly compacted Na bentonite as buffer substance Roland Pusch Högskolan i Luleå 1978-02-25
75	Small-scale bentonite injection test on rock Roland Pusch Högskolan i Luleå 1978-03-02
76	Experimental determination of the stress/strain situation in a sheared tunnel model with canister Roland Pusch Högskolan i Luleå 1978-03-02
77	Nuklidvandring från ett bergförvar för utbränt bränsle Bertil Grundfelt Kemakta konsult AB, Stockholm
78	Bedömning av radiolys i grundvatten Hilbert Christenssen AB Atomenergi 1978-02-17